

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, would he specify his amendment.

Mr. TRAFICANT. It is to peacekeeping and it in fact deals with the ceiling that is placed in the language; the 25-percent ceiling in the Traficant amendment deals with that. I want an opportunity to have that be included in the amendments to be offered, with a time period reserved for that.

Mr. GILMAN. How much time will the gentleman require?

Mr. TRAFICANT. Whatever time the gentleman deems necessary would be fine with the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. GILMAN. We will grant the gentleman 5 minutes on his proposed amendment, at the end of all of the other consideration.

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Chairman, that is fine with this gentleman, and I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I also will not object, but I did not hear the amendment which we have discussed which I intend to offer on the list.

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, the order of amendments was cleared by the gentleman's leadership on his side of the aisle.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. ENGLE. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I am wondering if I could ask this of this chairman: I have an amendment which was brought up at the committee in chapter 4. I did not hear it read off. I wonder if, at the end of debate after all the other amendments have been read, there will be time for others to submit amendments.

Mr. GILMAN. If the gentleman will yield, Mr. Speaker, in response to the gentleman's inquiry, again the order of amendments was cleared by the leadership on the gentleman's side of the aisle. I suggest the gentleman take that up with his leadership.

Mr. ENGEL. Will there be time? With amendments that the gentleman mentioned, will there be time at the end of those amendments for other amendments to be submitted?

Mr. GILMAN. The order of amendments that were read consumes all of the remaining time.

As a further response to the gentleman, the remaining time is all consumed by the order of amendments. However, if there is any remaining time, we will be pleased to consider the gentleman's request tomorrow.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, with all due respect, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

HOUR OF MEETING ON TOMORROW, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 1995

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourn today, it adjourn to meet at 9 a.m. on Thursday, February 16.

The Speaker pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. MFUME. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, in an effort to try to make sure that we operate with some sense of comity, I direct this inquiry to the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN].

There was one Member on our side of the aisle, the gentlewoman from California, who had wanted to offer an amendment in the title that has just been concluded and was not allowed to do that while she was on the floor seeking recognition. In an effort to try to move us off the impasse that we are on, would the gentleman from New York be open to this: as I understand it, the rule calls for the allowing of this amendment since amendments can be offered at any time, as I understand it, regardless of section?

Mr. GILMAN. If the gentleman would yield, Mr. Speaker, I believe that the gentlewoman was offering an amendment to title III. We have not arrived at that title yet.

Mr. MFUME. I am going to ask the gentlewoman now if it was title III or not. I thought it was the current title. It is my understanding, I say to the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN], that it was title II that she was offering the amendment for.

Mr. GILMAN. The gentlewoman can offer her amendment at any time that she desires providing there is time remaining.

Mr. MFUME. Could the gentleman also by unanimous consent perhaps, as he seeks his request that was previously not agreed to, indicate her amendment as part of those amendments that will be considered?

Mr. GILMAN. We are going to have to revisit the schedule since there was an objection to the schedule.

Mr. MFUME. I do not know if the gentleman who raised the objection is still objecting or not.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, I am still objecting. I would like to know that I can get some definite time for my amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

□ 2310

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SEN-SENBRENNER). The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, would it be appropriate for the gentleman from New York to reoffer his unanimous-consent request at this particular time?

Mr. GILMAN. I will be pleased to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will entertain a request from the gentleman from New York.

REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION OF ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 7, NATIONAL SECURITY REVITALIZATION ACT

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I will be pleased to reoffer the unanimous-consent request with regard to the order of amendments and the time allocation.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my previous unanimous-consent request be agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the right to object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would ask the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN] if the request is just as it was stated the last time, with the modification involving the proposed amendment of the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. TRAFICANT].

Mr. GILMAN. Yes, to include the request of the gentleman from Ohio.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is the same request with that modification?

Mr. GILMAN. Yes, with that modification.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. BONIOR. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, under my reservation I would ask the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN] if we could accommodate the gentleman from New York [Mr. ENGEL] with a 5-minute request as we have accommodated the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. TRAFICANT].

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I will be pleased to modify the request allocating 5 minutes to the gentleman from New York, with the understanding that it will be deducted from the title VI amendment, No. 28 or 43.

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. Under my reservation of objection, Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, under the reservation of objection, if I might, I just want to make sure I understand.

We have a bipartisan agreement on the remaining time in the 10 hours. It has been modified to provide an additional 10 minutes, 5 minutes to discuss—divided equally to discuss—

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman would yield, no, it is not an additional 10 minutes. We will have to

adjust the times accordingly from the times set forth.

Mr. BERMAN. Excuse me. The gentleman is stating it correctly: to be subtracted from the agreed upon time, 5 minutes on the amendment of the gentleman from Ohio, 5 minutes on the amendment of the gentleman from New York, and can I suggest an additional 1 minute for an amendment from the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. SKELTON] that is noncontroversial? And this will all come off of titles III, IV, V, and VI?

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. GILMAN. Are any of these amendments pre-filed?

Mr. BERMAN. They are all pre-filed, as I understand it. They are all pre-filed.

Mr. GILMAN. And do we have a number on the amendments? Does the gentleman from New York [Mr. ENGEL] have a number on his amendment?

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. ENGEL. The amendment has been filed. I do not know what the number is, but it has been filed, and it is in title IV, not in title VI.

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from Missouri.

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, I discussed this amendment, my amendment number 7 to title VI, with the gentleman from New York. He indicated it was acceptable.

By inadvertence, Mr. Speaker, it was left off the list. I just learned a few moments ago it was left off the gentleman's list as well.

Mr. Speaker, I will not need more than 60 seconds to present it tomorrow.

Mr. BONIOR. Further reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, and I do not plan to do so, but this is a classic example, with all due respect to my friend, the gentleman from New York [Mr. SOLOMON], a classic example of what happens when rules are not structured to allow Members to offer amendments, and, further reserving the right to object, this will continue to happen until we do that.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN], as modified, 5 minutes for the amendment to be offered by the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. TRAFICANT], 5 minutes for the amendment to be offered by the gentleman from New York [Mr. ENGEL], and 1 minute for the amendment to be offered by the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. SKELTON]?

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my unanimous-consent request with regard to this.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York withdraws his unanimous-consent request.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. VOLKMER. Could the Chair advise the Members approximately how much time is still left on the bill, H.R. 7?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Three hours and fifty minutes.

Mr. VOLKMER. Three hours and fifty minutes.

We are starting tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Missouri is correct.

Mr. VOLKMER. It is just so the Members may be alerted because there is a little uproar.

Mr. Speaker, I have another parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. VOLKMER. At the end of the bill, when the bill is finally concluded and reported back to the House, is it possible that we can have a revote, 15 minutes each on each amendment that has been adopted in the House?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Missouri is partially correct. It is a 15-minute vote for the first amendment, and then, at the discretion of the Chair, 5 minutes for each additional amendment for which a revote is requested.

Mr. VOLKMER. Can any Member make that request?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Any Member can make the request, but the House must order the recorded vote by having a sufficient number of Members stand to order that vote.

REQUEST FOR REALLOCATION OF TIME LIMITS ON AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 7 TO BE OFFERED BY MR. TRAFICANT, MR. ENGEL, AND MR. SKELTON

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that the amendment to be offered by myself, the amendment to be offered by the gentleman from New York [Mr. ENGEL], and the amendment to be offered by the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. SKELTON], be considered in a time frame tomorrow not in excess of a total of 10 minutes or 9 minutes, 3 minutes for each amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

VACATION OF REFERRAL OF H.R. 10 TO COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to vacate the order of the House previously agreed to with regard to H.R. 10 being referred to the Committee on Commerce.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia (at the request of Mr. GEPHARDT), for today, on account of a death in the family.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 11 o'clock and 18 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Thursday, February 16, 1995, at 9 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

372. A letter from the Head of Each Department and Agency, transmitting a report of a violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act which occurred in the Department of the Navy, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1517(b); to the Committee on Appropriations.

373. A letter from the Chairman, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting a report on the nondisclosure of safeguards information for quarter ending December 31, 1994, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 2167(e); to the Committee on Commerce.

374. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting the Department of the Air Force's proposed lease of defense articles to the United Nations for use in Bosnia (Transmittal No. 11-95), pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2796a(a); to the Committee on International Relations.

375. A letter from the Auditor, District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of report entitled, "Review of the District's Emergency Assistance Services' Program," pursuant to D.C. Code, section 47-117(d); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

376. A letter from the Executive Director, Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board, transmitting a report of activities under the Freedom of Information Act for calendar year 1994, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(d); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

377. A letter from the Chairman, Railroad Retirement Board, transmitting congressional justification of budget estimates for fiscal year 1996, pursuant to 45 U.S.C. 231f; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

378. A letter from the Comptroller General, General Accounting Office, transmitting a report on the assignment or detail of GAO employees to congressional committees as of January 27, 1995; jointly, to the Committees on Appropriations and Government Reform and Oversight.